

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/631,412		KING ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Callie E. Shosho		1714	

All Participants:

(1) Callie E. Shosho.

(2) Dara Onofrio.

Date of Interview: 21 May 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-16,23,26-27,29

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. Part II above.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Ms. Onofrio in order to (i) cancel non-elected claims 1-16, (ii) ensure that claim 23 does not recite improper Markush group, (iii) correct minor spelling errors in claims 26, 27, and 29, and (iv) ensure that there is not insufficient antecedent basis in claim 29.